SAPPHO FR. 94: A FURTHER NOTE

EMMET ROBBINS

In my article "Who's Dying in Sappho Fr. 94?" in Phoenix 44.2 (1990) 111–121 I outlined three possibilities for construing the crucial passage from the first to the second stanza of what survives of the poem, pointing out that the πόλλα of line 3 is of particular importance for our interpretation. My own preference was, and remains, the third possibility—to punctuate at the end of line 2 and to take πόλλα with what follows, reading πόλλα καὶ τόδε as a unit—"she said much and this (in particular)." But I now realise that the explanatory example that I adduced is unsatisfactory: the Homeric phrase πολλὰ καὶ ἐσθλά is not analogous, for in this phrase the two neuter adjectives are exactly parallel: they refer to the same thing and the word καὶ could be eliminated without damaging the sense. It would have been more helpful to point to the use of καί explained by J. D. Denniston (The Greek Particles² [Oxford 1959]) 291 (6): καί with a sense of climax (= καὶ δή, καὶ δὴ καί; cf. LSJ s.v. A. 2).

If this is correct, $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \alpha$ will be a comprehensive reference to what the girl said. The bulk of it is played down by a dismissive $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \alpha$ in order to allow Sappho to concentrate on what follows the $\tau \delta \delta \epsilon$, i.e., on what she will rebut at length. The first surviving line of the poem is not an instance of the $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \alpha$, alluded to retrospectively—this would, in my opinion, be otiose and inelegant. $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \alpha$ is, rather, a reference to the many things glanced at without elaboration and mentioned collectively inasmuch as the inclusive mention gives point to the one actual quotation singled out and emphasised. Anticipatory examples of the girl's speech would weaken the force both of the succinct $\pi \delta \lambda \lambda \alpha$ and of the subsequent quotation.

One further point. If πόλλα is taken in enjambment with ψισδομένα, as perhaps the majority of critics wish, there is simply a reference to much weeping along with the utterance. Neither πόλλα nor ψισδομένα (Hsch. ψιζομένη κλαίουσα) is a reference to articulate sound, much less a reference to earlier speech, and the normal Greek distinction between intelligible utterance and wailing would be observed in this case too: cf., e.g., the Homeric τραίουσ' (Il. 24.746).

ST. MICHAEL'S COLLEGE UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO TORONTO, ONTARIO M5S 1J4

I am especially grateful to Robert Fowler for lively debate and criticism. His assistance should not, however, be taken as indication of his agreement with my interpretation.